

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

**MINUTES OF THE  
COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY  
MEETING OF JANUARY 13, 2010  
CITY HALL COMMISSION CHAMBERS,  
210 SAMS AVE.  
NEW SMYRNA BEACH, FLORIDA**

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

**Chair Linda DeBorde called the CRA meeting to order at 2:00 p.m.**

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Answering to roll call:**

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

**Linda DeBorde, Chair  
Cynthia Lybrand  
Doug Hodson  
Thomas Williams**

36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

Also present were Tony Otte, Interim CRA Director; Michelle Martin, CRA Project Manager; Noeleen Foster, CRA Program Manager; Claudia Soulie, Administrative Specialist and Mark Hall, CRA Attorney. Commissioner James Kosmas arrived at 2:03 pm and Vice-Chair Steve Dennis arrived at 2:25 pm. Commissioner Charles Belote was absent.

Ms. DeBorde introduced and welcomed the new Interim CRA Director Tony Otte and stated that Mr. Otte's extensive experience would help keep the CRA moving in the right direction and she was looking forward to working with him.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

A. Approval of Minutes – December 9, 2009

**Mr. Hodson made the motion to approve the December 9, 2009 minutes as written, seconded by Ms. Lybrand. The motion carried on roll call vote 4 – 0.**

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

In accordance with the City Commission Resolution #11-89, a three-minute limitation will be imposed unless otherwise granted by the CRA Commissioners.

Mr. Jim Taylor, 827 Evergreen Street, encouraged the CRA to start improvements on the Dunn Lumber Property as he considered that property to be an eyesore.

Ms. Cindy Jones, 334 Canal Street, spoke on behalf of Debbie Peterson with Christmas on Canal. Ms. Jones thanked the CRA for its financial support of their recent events during the Christmas season, which were a great success. Ms. Jones stated that the CRA would receive a full report by their next meeting.

47 Ms. DeBorde stated that she had received a lot of positive feedback from residents as  
48 well as an email from an out-of-state visitor complimenting the City on its downtown  
49 decorations during the Holiday Season.

50  
51 Mr. Gordon Smith, 210 N. Atlantic Ave. thanked the CRA for their financial support of  
52 the Surfari Club, which allowed them to re-erect their surfboard memorial at the Flagler  
53 Boardwalk. Mr. Gordon also commented on beachside areas that he considered to be  
54 blighted and asked the CRA to place them on their Community Redevelopment Plan  
55 update prioritization list. Mr. Gordon was also opposed to the proposed hotel on Flagler  
56 Ave as he felt that this project would have a negative effect on the community.

57  
58 Hearing no further requests, Ms. DeBorde closed the public participation portion of the  
59 meeting.

60

61

62

## **OLD BUSINESS**

63

### **A. CRA Plan Update – Prioritization List**

64

65

66 Ms. DeBorde stated that the CRA Redevelopment Plan Update process was nearing  
67 finalization and staff was requesting the CRA to review, comment and confirm the  
68 outline of the overall plans, goals, objectives, project prioritization plan, and projected  
69 project cost through fiscal year 2015. Ms. DeBorde continued that the consultant would  
70 then provide the final draft document on or about January 22, 2010 for the CRA's final  
71 approval at their February 3, 2010 meeting.

72

73 Ms. DeBorde thanked Mr. Otte for being able to continue the momentum of the  
74 Redevelopment Plan update.

75

76

77

### **B. Flagler Ave. Hotel and Parking Project**

78

79 Mr. Otte stated that the City Commission had continued the rezoning for the hotel  
80 property, from Mixed Use (MU) to Planned Unit Development (PUD) from their January  
81 12, 2010 meeting to their next meeting at the end of January. Mr. Otte continued that  
82 staff had been working on drafting a lease for the parking spaces at the Cormeth lot and  
83 presented the CRA with the most recent conceptual plan of the property layout. Mr. Otte  
84 gave a brief update of the scope of work and possible dedication of parking spaces for the  
85 Cormeth Methodist Church and the proposed hotel. Mr. Otte stated that the negotiation  
86 for additional parking continued to move forward and that a meeting with Church  
87 representatives would be scheduled.

88

89 A brief discussion ensued about how many original parking spaces were on this lot, how  
90 many would be lost/gained, fees for tree mitigation and leasing parking from the Church.

91

92 Ms. Lybrand was concerned about the possibility that the Church may refuse to renew the  
93 lease after the original term or sell off the property and that this would cause a serious  
94 parking hardship and suggested discussing purchasing a certain portion of the lot from  
95 the Church.

96  
97 Mr. Taylor, 827 Evergreen Street asked to address the CRA and suggested that staff  
98 check into how the Church acquired this property and any possible redevelopment  
99 stipulations in the purchase agreement.

100

101 Ms. DeBorde thanked staff for their diligent work and the CRA, by consensus, authorized  
102 staff to continue to move forward with a potential draft lease.

103

104 **C. Murals**

105

106 Ms. Foster stated that at their October 7, 2009 meeting, the CRA unanimously deferred a  
107 property improvement grant application for painting of a mural on a private building at  
108 622 W. Canal Street.

109

110 Ms. Foster continued that she had received a few more requests from residents asking for  
111 financial assistance with murals under the CRA property improvement grant program and  
112 staff was seeking the CRA's direction on whether or not to pursue drafting a Mural  
113 Program. Ms. Foster stated that there were a number of cities around the country with  
114 mural programs and that it was important to note that in those cities the mural programs  
115 had little, if any, regulation, and were operated by private, non-profit groups. These  
116 groups held fund-raising activities to pay the artists for their work and for the required  
117 maintenance.

118

119 A brief discussion ensued about legality issues with using public funds to create art on  
120 private buildings and the much higher cost of painting a mural versus just repainting the  
121 wall.

122

123 **Mr. Dennis made the motion for the CRA and staff to take no action on this agenda  
124 item, seconded by Ms. Lybrand. Motion carried on roll-call vote 6-0.**

125

126 Ms. DeBorde recognized Mayor Adam Barringer and welcomed him to the CRA  
127 meeting.

128

129 **D. Request for Parking Lot Improvements (former Skateboard facility)**

130

131 Mr. Otte stated that the CRA, at their May 13, 2009 CRA meeting, discussed improving  
132 the condition of the existing skateboard facility at the SE corner of Julia and Faulkner  
133 Streets and allocated \$50,000 to the improvements. Mr. Otte continued that since that  
134 time, the City Commission asked to have this site converted to a parking lot to provide  
135 additional off-street parking in the historic Canal Street downtown. Mr. Otte stated that a  
136 plan for constructing a parking lot as well as an Engineer's cost estimate were completed  
137 recently and staff was recommending the CRA approve the City Commission's request as  
138 well as re-allocate the \$50,000 to the construction of this parking lot.

139 Mr. Kosmas asked for clarification on a line item in the cost estimate pertaining to  
140 signage. Mr. Otte explained that these were considered site signs and not wayfinding  
141 signs.

142  
143 Ms. DeBorde felt that additional parking was needed downtown, especially since all City  
144 meetings had been moved back into the City Commission Chamber.

145  
146 Ms. DeBorde continued that she was in favor of researching CRA owned lots for the  
147 possibility of constructing a small-scale skateboard facility. The CRA agreed.

148  
149 Mr. Otte noted that suggestion.

150  
151 **Mr. Dennis made the motion to accept staff's recommendation to approve the City**  
152 **Commission's request and to re-allocate the original skateboard park improvement**  
153 **funds for the proposed parking lot, seconded by Mr. Hodson. Motion carried on**  
154 **roll-call vote 6-0.**

155  
156  
157

### NEW BUSINESS

158

159 **A. S. Orange St. Streetscape and Parking Lot Improvements Project – Additional**  
160 **Services**

161

162 Ms. Michelle Martin stated that staff had been coordinating with Glattig Jackson to  
163 modify their CRA parking lot configuration for the S. Orange Street Streetscape and  
164 Parking Lot Improvement Project in order to save a large Cedar tree.

165

166 Ms. Martin recommended the CRA approve the Additional Services request for Glattig  
167 Jackson in the amount of \$3,500.00.

168

169 Mr. Hall stated that Glattig listed a 1.15% direct cost multiplier in their proposal and  
170 pointed out that the CRA does not pay overages of direct cost beyond the fixed fee and  
171 suggested this be reflected in the motion. Ms. Martin reiterated that Glattig had been  
172 informed of the CRA's policy pertaining to direct costs on several occasions.

173

174 **Mr. Dennis made the motion to accept the additional services request at the fixed**  
175 **cost of \$3,500 and to advise Glattig Jackson that the CRA does not pay direct cost;**  
176 **seconded by Mr. Hodson. The motion carried on roll call vote 6 – 0.**

177

178

179 **B. S. Orange St. Streetscape and Parking Lot Improvements Project –100% Plans**

180

181 Ms. DeBorde recognized Mr. Khalid Resheidat, Public Works Director.

182

183 Ms. Martin stated that staff had completed the review of the S. Orange Street Streetscape  
184 and Parking Lot Improvement Project 100% construction plans and was submitting them  
185 to the CRA for their review and approval. Ms. Martin gave a brief update on the scope of

186 work and stated that the Utilities Commission (UC) had also reviewed the plans. Ms.  
187 Martin continued that the UC representatives indicated in a recent meeting that they were  
188 no longer participating with upgrading their water mains for this project as originally  
189 discussed. Mr. Dennis inquired who would bear the cost of digging up the new  
190 Streetscape, should the water main deteriorate. Mr. Resheidat stated that the UC was  
191 responsible for restoring the street.

192

193 Mr. Resheidat stated that this was an important project and he appreciated the partnership  
194 and help of the CRA.

195

196 Mr. Williams wanted to be sure that staff had reviewed the possible need for easements  
197 or other potential, (foreseeable) causes for delays to ensure a smooth completion of this  
198 project. A brief discussion ensued between staff and the CRA about staying within the  
199 project budget; the merits of a design-build project versus a regular construction project  
200 and that the Orange Ave. project would go out for bid fully designed.

201

202 **Mr. Williams made the motion to accept the S. Orange Street 100% plans; seconded**  
203 **by Ms. Lybrand. The motion carried on roll call vote 6 – 0.**

204

205 Mr. Kosmas inquired about a timeline. Mr. Resheidat estimated that the actual  
206 construction could begin within three (3) months and that this project should be  
207 completed with 240 days from the Notice to Proceed.

208

209 **C. W. Canal Streetscape and Drainage Improvement Project –Change Order #2**

210

211 Mr. Resheidat stated that Change Order #2 had been submitted by the design build team,  
212 ThadCon, for added items of work requested by the City/CRA, FDOT and the Utilities  
213 Commission (UC), which were not described in the original scope of work.

214

215 Mr. Resheidat continued that negotiations were still under way for the items that were  
216 generated by FDOT and the UC, however, to prevent delays with the project, staff  
217 recommended approval of the re-negotiated items that were solely generated by the  
218 CRA/City. Mr. Resheidat stated that staff would come back before the CRA for  
219 presentation of “Phase B” of this change order.

220

221 Mr. Williams inquired if any extra funds needed to be added to the budget line item for  
222 this project. Mr. Resheidat stated that the CRA had sufficient funds already allocated and  
223 some of the charges would be reimbursed by FDOT.

224

225 Mr. Williams suggested reviewing the budget for re-assessment of any decorative items  
226 that may have been deleted, as he would like to see the best finished product. Mr.  
227 Resheidat stated that the construction plans were designed in such a way that the  
228 streetscape was landscape ready with all the irrigation in place, so staff had time to  
229 negotiate the best price for the actual landscape planters, etc.

230

231 Ms. Lybrand inquired about correcting vandalism to the new sidewalk. Ms. Martin stated  
232 that she had notified the Police Department for a report. A brief discussion ensued about

233 who was responsible to pay for any costs incurred due to vandalism. Mr. Hall, CRA  
234 Attorney, stated he would research this topic.

235  
236 **Mr. Hodson made the motion to accept staff's recommendation to approve the**  
237 **CRA/City re-negotiated items in Change Order #2 in the amount of \$229,966.88,**  
238 **seconded by Mr. Dennis. Motion carried on roll-call vote 6-0.**

239  
240 Mr. Otte informed the CRA that staff arranged for a meeting to be held on Wednesday,  
241 January 14, 2010 at 6:00 pm at the Babe James Center to allow business owners and  
242 residents along W. Canal Street to voice their concerns/comments pertaining to the W.  
243 Canal Streetscape project and the effect on their businesses.

244  
245 Mr. Otte commended Mr. Resheidat for his assistance with the change order process and  
246 Ms. Martin for her work in securing all the necessary easements.

247  
248  
249 **D. Mary Ave Streetscape Project – Emergency Pipe Replacement**

250  
251 Ms. Martin stated that investigative work revealed that the existing City Stormwater  
252 system crossing US1 at Mary Ave. had greatly deteriorated and was in need of immediate  
253 replacement. Ms. Martin continued that staff had coordinated with FDOT to be granted a  
254 permit allowing the City to open cut US 1 to have this emergency work completed prior  
255 to the upcoming FDOT Milling and Resurfacing project. Ms. Martin clarified that the  
256 Mary Ave. Streetscape project would be tying into this particular pipe making it  
257 imperative that it be replaced.

258  
259 Ms. Martin stated that staff selected Parnell Well Drilling and Better Barricades to do this  
260 emergency work, which was expected to take approximately 15 days to complete. Ms.  
261 Martin continued that a price for paving the trench was received in the amount of  
262 \$20,000.

263  
264 Ms. Martin informed the CRA that funding for the emergency replacement of the existing  
265 City Stormwater System in the amount of approximately \$60,500 (excluding paving) was  
266 budgeted in the City's Stormwater Fund.

267  
268 Ms. DeBorde thanked staff for their update and stated that no action was necessary from  
269 the CRA on this agenda item as it was for information only.

270  
271  
272 **E. CRA Commercial Impact Fee Assistance Program Application - 215**  
273 **Washington Street**

274  
275 Ms. Foster stated that staff had received an application in the amount of \$4,008.70 for  
276 CRA Commercial Impact fee assistance for the redevelopment of a property located at  
277 215 Washington Street. Ms. Foster continued that the application was compliant with the  
278 goals and criteria established in the assistance program and stated that staff recommended  
279 CRA approval of this request.

280 Ms. Foster stated that this application was the first request the CRA had received since  
281 implementing the CRA Commercial Impact Fee assistance program.

282  
283 Ms. DeBorde inquired if the work had been completed, which Ms. Foster confirmed.

284  
285 Ms. DeBorde asked if there were any stipulations in the impact fee assistance program  
286 criteria addressing work having been completed before the application was presented to  
287 the CRA for approval.

288  
289 Ms. Foster mentioned that stipulations were in place, which applied to the actual work,  
290 but the applicant stated he was not aware of these impact fees as they were presented to  
291 him after the work was completed, due to staffing changes in the Building Department. A  
292 brief discussion ensued between the CRA and staff about this applicant paying for all the  
293 impact fees for his prior projects.

294  
295 Mr. Dennis inquired about suggestions to avoid another “after-the-fact” application being  
296 presented to the CRA. Ms. Foster stated that she has had difficulty getting a list of all  
297 applicable impact fees from the Utilities Commission (UC) to use as a reference in the  
298 application package and that she was not able to assure the CRA that this would be an  
299 isolated occurrence.

300  
301 Ms. DeBorde cautioned that some residents seemed to have the wrong idea about what  
302 the CRA can and cannot assist them with.

303  
304 Ms. Lybrand suggested possibly having the City Manager’s office contact the UC in this  
305 regard. Mr. Otte stated that staff was in the process of working with the City Manager’s  
306 office for such a meeting.

307  
308 **Mr. Williams made the motion to approve the impact fee assistance application for**  
309 **215 Washington Street in the amount of \$4,008.70; seconded by Mr. Hodson. The**  
310 **motion carried on roll call vote 6 – 0.**

311  
312  
313 **F. Environmental Assessment and Characterization Services – Selection of**  
314 **Firms**

315  
316 Ms. DeBorde thanked staff for providing the CRA with a digital version of the final four  
317 (4) proposals. Ms. Foster stated that those proposals were also uploaded on the CRA’s  
318 portion of the City’s website.

319  
320 Ms. Foster continued that staff had received twenty-five (25) proposals from professional  
321 engineering firms as part of the CRA Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to provide  
322 environmental site assessment and characterization activities and related services on a  
323 continuing contract basis for the City under its Brownfields Redevelopment Program.  
324 Ms. Foster stated that the Brownfield Redevelopment program was funded through a  
325 Brownfields Assessment grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

326

327 Ms. Foster continued that Kimley-Horn and Associates, Nodarse & Associates,  
328 Concurrent Technologies Corporation (CTC), and Environmental Consulting  
329 Technologies (ECT) were short listed in order of preference as the top four firms by a  
330 ranking committee consisting of former CRA Director Kevin Fall, CRA Program  
331 Manager Noeleen Foster, CRA Commissioner Tom Williams and City Engineer Kyle  
332 Fegley. Public Works Director Khalid Resheidat and Interim CRA Director Tony Otte  
333 were present at the final meeting, at which time the ranking committee decided to move  
334 CTC ahead of Nodarse.

335

336 Ms. Foster asked the CRA if they wished for those four firms to conduct formal  
337 presentations. The CRA, by consensus, declined the presentations.

338

339 Ms. Foster stated that staff was seeking CRA approval of the top four firms and  
340 authorization to negotiate individual contracts and scope of services related to the  
341 environmental assessment and characterization services within the City of New Smyrna  
342 Beach.

343

344 **Mr. Hodson made the motion to approve staff's revised recommendation of the top**  
345 **four (4) firms and to authorize staff to negotiate individual contracts and scope of**  
346 **services as requested, seconded by Mr. Dennis. Motion carried on roll-call vote 6-0.**

347

348 Mr. Williams commented on how the ranking committee arrived at their selection of the  
349 top firms and that this could be the first step in cleaning up the property at 533 Canal  
350 Street (Dunn Lumber).

351

352 Ms. Foster clarified that staff had applied for a grant from the State to clean up the Dunn  
353 Lumber property and that the State had asked if staff was willing to tear down the Dunn  
354 Property with local monies to match the cost of cleaning up the contamination. Ms.  
355 Foster continued that she had received correspondence from the EPA saying that they  
356 might consider demolishing the Dunn Lumber property as part of the EPA grant to assist  
357 the testing of the site. Ms. Foster stated that staff felt that the Dunn Lumber property  
358 might be the first project under this grant, which was good news.

359

360

#### 361 **G. Property Improvement Grant Application – 334 Canal Street**

362

363 Ms. Foster stated the staff had received another property improvement grant application  
364 for "phase 2" of renovations for 334 Canal Street. Ms. Foster continued that the  
365 application received the points necessary to qualify for CRA funding and staff was  
366 recommending the CRA approve this application in the amount of \$10,000.

367

368 **Mr. Hodson made the motion to approve the property improvement grant**  
369 **application for 334 Canal Street in the amount of \$10,000, seconded by Ms.**  
370 **Lybrand. Motion carried on roll-call vote 6-0.**

371

372 Mr. Hodson congratulated the applicant on beautiful improvements to this historic  
373 structure, seconded by Ms. Lybrand.

374 **H. Proposal for the Flagler Dunes Property – (former Heavenly Bar-B-Q &**  
375 **adjoining lot S. Atlantic**  
376

377 Mr. Otte stated that he had been contacted by Commissioner Williams about the owner of  
378 the Flagler Dunes property wishing to clear the parcel where the residence was located.  
379 Furthermore, the owner had expressed interest in the possibility of partnering with the  
380 CRA to create a parking lot.

381  
382 Mr. Williams stated that the owner had originally intended to clean up and just grade the  
383 property, but was informed by City staff that this would require the property to go  
384 through the City’s site plan process. Mr. Williams presented the CRA with an aerial  
385 photo and stated that this property consisted to two parcels and he was referring strictly to  
386 the south parcel. Mr. Williams continued that the partnership could be structured in such  
387 a way that the CRA would pay for the construction of the parking lot and would then  
388 receive the dedicated use of certain number of spaces for a certain period of time. Mr.  
389 Williams stated that the details for this arrangement could be specified in the partnership  
390 agreement and invited the CRA Commissioners to forward any ideas and suggestions to  
391 Mr. Otte.

392  
393 Ms. DeBorde suggested giving the owner an “escape clause” and presented a few other  
394 scenarios of how this agreement could be worded.

395  
396 Mr. Otte stated that staff was requesting discussion on this concept and that approval  
397 would necessitate the establishment of guidelines to regulate future requests.

398  
399 The CRA by consensus authorized staff to move forward on working with the owner to  
400 create a draft agreement.

401  
402  
403 **REPORTS AND COMMUNICATIONS**

404  
405 **A. Director’s Report**  
406

407 Mr. Otte stated that he was very schedule and budget oriented and continued that he had  
408 added “Fiscal Impact” and “Other Options” to the current Agenda Memorandum as he  
409 felt this budget information to be pertinent to CRA operations. Ms. DeBorde appreciated  
410 that additional information.

- 411
- 412 • Mr. Otte stated that one of the City Manager’s directions to him was the  
413 finalization of the Community Redevelopment Plan update and staff was working  
414 diligently to be able to present a final draft to the CRA at the February 3, 2010  
415 CRA meeting.
  - 416
  - 417 • Mr. Otte continued that staff had scheduled a meeting with Bert Fish hospital  
418 officials to discuss possible projects.
  - 419

- 420           • Mr. Otte felt that wayfinding signage was an important project and stated that he  
421 would be interested in doing research into using FDOT signage as well as the cost  
422 of a wayfinding sign system using local artists.  
423

424

425 Mr. Kosmas inquired about FDOT's plans with the triangular area where W. Canal Street  
426 merges with SR 44 as this was somewhat of an entry point to Downtown Canal Street.  
427 Mr. Otte stated that it was currently used as a staging area for the W. Canal Streetscape  
428 improvements and he would check with FDOT and present a report at the February 3,  
429 2010 CRA meeting clarifying ownership and plan of action for this parcel..  
430

431

431 Mr. Kosmas also felt that this area would be a perfect location for a permanent, lit sign  
432 advertising the Downtown and suggested the CRA consider discussing this topic. Mr.  
433 Kosmas continued that it was his understanding that, if this sign would benefit the CRA  
434 district, the CRA could fund it, even though it was located outside of the CRA district.  
435

436

436 Ms. Lybrand stated that Glatting Jackson representatives suggested the CRA come up  
437 with a name for their loop and she felt that this should occur before any signage was  
438 chosen. Ms. Lybrand suggested a contest. A brief discussion ensued about variable  
439 message signs and possible locations outside of the CRA district that would best guide  
440 traffic into the CRA district. The CRA agreed by consensus to name/brand the loop.  
441

442

442 Mr. Williams suggested getting clarification on the rules and regulations pertaining to the  
443 CRA funding signage outside of the CRA district. Mr. Hall will do research into this  
444 request.  
445

446

446 Mr. Otte continued that:

447

- 448           • staff had addressed some business owner concerns pertaining to the speaker  
449 volume for the Canal Street sound system.  
450

451

- 451           • staff was in the process of scheduling a coordination meeting with the Director of  
452 the Utilities Commission.  
453

454

- 454           • staff had scheduled a meeting with West Canal Street business owners to discuss  
455 concerns on Wednesday, January 14, 2010 at 6:00 pm at the Babe James Center.  
456

457

- 457           • staff will create a tracking report on any Commissioner requests made at  
458 subsequent meetings to ensure that these request will be addressed in a reasonable  
459 amount of time.  
460

461

462

462 Mr. Hall stated that he had spoken with Hugh Waters, Canal Street Tire and Tube, who  
463 had been in touch with CRA staff about possible financial compensation for an alleged  
464 hardship caused him by the West Canal Streetscape improvements. Mr. Hall continued  
465 that he informed Mr. Waters what assistance programs were currently offered by the

466 CRA and no program was in place for reimbursement of operational concerns. Mr. Hall  
467 felt that no official response on behalf of the CRA was necessary.

468  
469 Ms. DeBorde suggested tracking down glass engraved plaques that were located in a  
470 stone near the gazebo in Pine Park.

471  
472 Mr. Kosmas appreciated the brief synopsis of things that happen between meetings. He  
473 also inquired about the white lights in Christmas Park. Staff felt that they would stay up  
474 and only be lit for special occasions.

475  
476 Correspondence

477  
478 Ms. DeBorde stated that Images: A Festival for the Arts had sent a Thank you note for  
479 the CRA's recent contribution along with an invitation for a Cocktail party for the patrons  
480 to be held at the Atlantic Center for the Arts on 1/29/2010 from 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm.

481  
482 Ms. DeBorde also informed the CRA that the City of Bunnell was hosting a Northeast  
483 Regional CRA Basics course sponsored by the Florida Redevelopment Agency on  
484 Thursday, January 21, 2010.

485  
486 Mr. Williams felt that there was still confusion among the public how and why the CRA  
487 obtained its funding. Mr. Williams continued that this became apparent during the public  
488 participation portion at a recent City Commission meeting.

489  
490 A brief discussion ensued about what would happen to the Hospital tax contribution once  
491 the CRA sunsets in 2015.

492  
493 ADJOURNMENT

494  
495 **A motion was made to adjourn; all agreed. Meeting adjourned at 4:30 pm.**

496  
497