

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

**MINUTES OF THE
COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
MEETING OF JANUARY 15, 2009
DEBERRY ROOM 3RD FLOOR
200 CANAL STREET
NEW SMYRNA BEACH, FLORIDA**

8 Chairperson Linda DeBorde called the January 15, 2009 CRA meeting to order at
9 2:00 p.m.

10
11
12

Answering to roll call:

13
14
15
16
17
18
19

**Linda DeBorde, Chair
Steve Dennis, Vice-Chair
Charles Belote
Douglas Hodson
Cynthia Lybrand
Thomas Williams**

20
21 Also present were Kevin Fall, CRA Director; Noeleen Foster, CRA Coordinator;
22 Michelle Martin, CRA Project Manger; Mark Hall, CRA Attorney; and Claudia Soulie,
23 Administrative Specialist. Commissioner James Kosmas arrived at 2:05 pm.

24
25
26

CONSENT AGENDA

27
28 Approval of Minutes – December 3, 2008 CRA Meeting.

29
30 **Mr. Hodson made the motion to approve the minutes of the December, 3 2008 CRA**
31 **meeting as written; seconded by Mr. Dennis. The motion carried on roll call vote 6**
32 **– 0.**

33
34
35

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

36 In accordance with the City Commission Resolution #11-89, a three-minute limitation will be imposed
37 unless otherwise granted by the CRA Commissioners.

38
39 Pete Sanders, 206 Florida Avenue addressed the Commissioners and re-emphasized his
40 concerns with the proposed redevelopment plans for CRA property on Florida Ave. Ms.
41 DeBorde thanked Mr. Sanders for his time and duly noted his concerns.

42
43 Ms. DeBorde stated that she was given a letter just before the meeting, written by Mr.
44 Jeffrey D. Shelley of 220 Florida Ave., to be read out loud during the Public Participation
45 Session of the CRA meeting. In the letter, Mr. Shelley indicated his opposition to the
46 2:00 pm start-time of the monthly CRA meeting and his disagreement with selling the

47 CRA owned lot on Florida Ave. to a private commercial developer. Mr. Shelley
48 suggested the installation of a new park. Ms. DeBorde submitted the letter into public
49 record and thanked Mr. Shelley for his written input.
50

51 Hearing no further business, Ms. DeBorde closed the Public Participation portion of the
52 meeting.
53

54 OLD BUSINESS

55

56 A. CRA Lease Parking Agreement – 301 Flagler Avenue Project

57

58 Mr. Fall discussed the status of the proposed redevelopment project for the vacant
59 property located at 301 and 303 Flagler Ave. that was brought before the CRA at the
60 December 3rd, 2008 CRA meeting. The previous proposal included the request to lease
61 approximately twenty (20) parking spaces from the CRA in order to comply with the
62 City's existing Land Development Regulations (LDR). CRA staff had since met with the
63 applicant, project representatives and City officials to develop a compromise that would
64 address the concerns raised by the CRA Commissioners and enable the execution of the
65 CRA Lease Parking Agreement.
66

67

68 Mr. Fall stated that revisions had been made to address the CRA Commissioners'
69 concerns and the new layout would now require twelve (12) spaces from the CRA and
70 Flip Flops restaurant would need to lease eleven (11) spaces from the CRA to comply
71 with the City's LDR. Mr. Fall assessed that the Florida Avenue lot had the available
72 capacity to meet these leasing requests.

73

74 Mr. Fall informed the Commissioners that the Development Services Department had
75 concerns with the setback regulations, but felt that could be resolved without having to
76 greatly alter the site plan.

77

78 Mr. Kosmas inquired if the applicant was terminating his current parking lease with Flip
79 Flops, which reduced the number of spaces the applicant was requesting and necessitated
80 Flip Flops leasing spaces from the CRA. Mr. Fall affirmed that question.

81

82 Mr. Hodson asked if the eleven (11) spaces would come from the Florida Ave. lot and
83 what impact that might have on the proposed Hotel development for that area. Mr. Fall
84 confirmed that the spaces would come from the Florida Ave. lot as current regulations
85 required parking to be located with 500 feet. Mr. Fall stated that staff was in the process
86 of looking for ways to expand parking and to extend the requirements from 500 ft to 1000
87 ft, which would then include the Jessamine lot and be more commensurate with a
88 downtown entertainment district.

89

90 Mr. Kosmas inquired if Flip Flops was going to put tables in the parking spaces or if
91 Clancy's would use the spaces. Mr. Fall stated that all parties involved have agreed to
92 work together to ensure a mutually agreeable situation.

92

93 Mr. Kosmas stated that it was his understanding that only non-designated parking spaces
94 would be assigned to meet city requirements for parking and asked Mr. Fall if these
95 spaces had to be designated. Mr. Fall confirmed that, according to the current program
96 the lot had to be designated, not the individual spaces.

97

98 Mr. Kosmas continued that he would like to see the following options included in the
99 lease contract:

100

101 • Ability to re-assign the parking spaces should there be a change in
102 zoning

103 • Defeasance clause in lease providing for annulment should there be a
104 change in use or business

105

106 Mr. Fall concurred and referred the topic to Mark Hall, CRA Attorney.

107

108 Mr. Belote inquired if CRA staff had received any input from surrounding property
109 owners. Mr. Fall had not.

110

111 Mr. Dennis asked if the CRA Leased Parking Agreement would include the revisions and
112 if it was running with the land and not the use.

113

114 Mr. Hall stated that with the defeasance clause the lease would run with the use.

115

116 Mr. Fall requested CRA approval and recommendation for the City Commission to
117 execute the CRA Lease Parking Agreement to assist with the redevelopment project at
118 301 and 303 Flagler Ave.

119

120 Mr. Williams asked if the leases would be between the CRA and the owner of the
121 business or the tenants. Mr. Hall suggested appointing one (1) CRA Commissioner to
122 work out the details. Mr. Kosmas stated that he would be more than happy to assist CRA
123 staff.

124

125 Mr. Rakowski, Development Services Director, would prefer to attach the lease to an
126 individual business rather than the property. Mr. Rakowski suggested to link the lease to
127 the Business Tax receipt (fka Occupational license), which would allow the City to stay
128 informed, should the business change location.

129

130 Mr. Belote inquired if the two (2) other business units on-site would attach to Clancy's
131 license. Mr. Rakowski felt that this would be the preferred choice, as the two (2) other
132 retail businesses required only a minimal amount of parking spaces.

133

134 Ms. DeBorde asked Mr. Rakowski if he would be assisting in setting up this lease
135 program, which Mr. Rakowski affirmed.

136

137 **Mr. Dennis made the motion to approve the CRA Lease Parking Agreement with**
138 **the agreed upon changes, seconded by Mr. Hodson. The motion carried on roll call**
139 **vote 7 –0.**

140

141 Ms. DeBorde recognized City of New Smyrna Beach Commissioner Grasty and Volusia
142 County Councilman Jack Hayman and thanked them for attending.

143

144 B. Update - Property Acquisition - 533 W. Canal Street

145

146 Mr. Fall gave a summary of the property acquisition and stated that the City Commission,
147 at their January meeting, recommended the CRA make an offer to the property owner in
148 the amount of \$417,000.00, which was the amount of the appraisal review that had been
149 performed.

150

151 Ms. DeBorde stated that the offer had been made and accepted by the sellers and the
152 executed contract should be forthcoming.

153

154 Mr. Fall suggested that Ms. DeBorde state for the record that neither she nor the CRA
155 had any financial gain from the sale/purchase of the Dunn Lumber property. Ms.
156 DeBorde confirmed that, even though she is a Realtor, she did not pursue any
157 commission, which was indicated in the contract.

158

159 Mr. Kosmas asked if the CRA needed to re-approve the new contract price for the Dunn
160 Lumber acquisition. Mr. Hall stated that the CRA could pass a motion ratifying the new
161 figure.

162

163 **Mr. Dennis made the motion to approve the new purchase price of \$417,000 for the**
164 **Dunn Lumber property; seconded by Mr. Williams. The motion carried on roll call**
165 **vote 7 –0.**

166

167

168 C. Professional Services Agreement – Design Services for Flagler Avenue
169 Boardwalk Sub Surface and Foundation Project

170

171 Mr. Fall stated that he had negotiated a contract with Halcrow, Inc., the firm awarded the
172 Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for design services for the Flagler Avenue Boardwalk
173 Sub Surface and Foundation project.

174

175 Mr. Fall continued that the contract would provide likely reasons for recent failure of the
176 boardwalk as well as options with cost estimates for the permanent rehabilitation of the
177 seawall/subsurface and foundation addressing both technical and aesthetic concerns. The
178 anticipated duration of this project would be twelve (12) weeks from the Notice to
179 Proceed.

180

181 Mr. Fall was recommending CRA approval and recommendation to the City Commission
182 to authorize the Professional Services Agreement with Halcrow, Inc. for the above
183 mentioned design services.

184

185 Mr. Fall informed the CRA Commissioners that Halcrow would have to remove
186 pavement decking at the south end to do their investigative work and back fill once
187 completed, and the cost for the fill was included in the proposal.

188

189

190 Mr. Williams felt that the amounts were a bit high and in his opinion this seemed like a
191 much larger undertaking than was necessary.

192

193 Ms. Lybrand stated that it was her understanding that the CRA was trying to determine
194 the condition of the seawall and its functionality.

195

196 Mr. Fall explained that Halcrow, Inc. was offering functional relief efforts to address the
197 continued deterioration of the seawall and the foundation, and that this did not include the
198 vertical structure. Mr. Fall continued that the final product would be construction-ready
199 bid documents for design options to ensure the integrity of the seawall and substructure.

200

201 Mr. Williams thought that the RFP was strictly for the study not for any repairs. Mr. Fall
202 stated that Halcrow had to remove pavement decking at the south end for their
203 investigation and that they would reinstall/"repair" the area to a pre-investigation
204 condition.

205

206 Mr. Williams asked if the proposal amount was fixed or if it was a projection. Mr. Fall
207 stated that there could be variances in the dollar amount for the fill.

208

209 A brief discussion ensued between some CRA Commissioners about the amount of the
210 proposal with the consensus that it was on the high end and that could be a complex
211 solution to a simple problem. Mr. Fall indicated that Halcrow, Inc. was a very capable,
212 firm, who would produce an accurate product, which carried a certain cost. Mr. Fall felt
213 that the Boardwalk was the signature asset of the CRA and needed qualified attention.

214

215 Ms. DeBorde felt that the longer the Boardwalk was left in that condition, the more costly
216 the repairs might become.

217

218 Mr. Dennis was supportive of moving ahead with the proposal.

219

220 Mr. Kosmas was concerned about spending any amount of money for fill that might have
221 to be removed again within a short period of time. Mr. Kosmas suggested leaving the
222 "investigation site" open for a reasonable time and have it filled in during the actual
223 repairs.

224

225 Mr. Fall duly noted Mr. Kosmas' concerns and suggestions.

226

227 **Mr. Dennis made the motion to approve a dollar amount of up to \$130,000 for**
228 **design services for the Flagler Avenue Boardwalk Sub Surface and Foundation**
229 **project; seconded by Ms. Lybrand. The motion carried on roll call vote 7 –0.**
230

231

232

D. Professional Services Agreement – Redevelopment Plan Update Project

233

234 Mr. Fall stated that the CRA had directed staff to negotiate a scope of services and fee
235 contract with Glatting Jackson Kercher Anglin, Inc. for professional services related to
236 the update to the CRA Redevelopment Plan, which was established in 1985 and updated
237 last in 1995.

238

239 Mr. Fall summarized the different aspects of what this update would entail, the related
240 costs and the resulting benefits to the CRA district.

241

242 Mr. Fall discussed the scope of services fee summary and felt that the CRA should
243 piggyback on the City’s infrastructure analysis thus eliminating the associated charge for
244 this study from the fee summary and to redirect those costs to having a comprehensive
245 parking study performed. Mr. Fall stated that this would slightly increase the overall total
246 of the Redevelopment Plan update, but felt that it would be very beneficial to the CRA
247 district.

248

249 Mr. Fall informed the CRA that the updated tentatively called for three (3) workshops for
250 the consultants to gather input from interested stakeholders as to the
251 changes/improvements the public would like to see happen.

252

253 Mr. Fall stated that the way-finding portion of the study, as proposed by Glatting
254 Jackson, might be handled more cost effectively by CRA Staff.

255

256 Mr. Fall was recommending CRA approval and recommendation for the City
257 Commission to authorize the Professional Services Agreement Glatting Jackson Kercher
258 Anglin, Inc. for professional services related to the update to the CRA Redevelopment
259 Plan.

260

261 Mr. Kosmas inquired if the consultants could work with the City when performing the
262 CRA’s parking study, as the CRA district is so interlinked with other areas of the City.
263 Mr. Fall informed the Commissioners that immediate adjacencies or any significant
264 economic or environmental influences that affect the CRA district would be taken into
265 consideration and that he had met with the City Manager, the Mayor and Volusia County
266 Economic Development officials to discuss creating a City Economic Development Plan
267 that could be merged with the CRA’s plan.

268

269 Mr. Hodson inquired about the timeframe for completion and Mr. Fall stated that the
270 schedule for this project was targeted for completion within six months from Notice to
271 Proceed.

272

273 **Mr. Kosmas made the motion to approve a dollar amount of \$288,500 for**
274 **professional services related to the update to the CRA Redevelopment Plan and the**
275 **comprehensive parking study; seconded by Mr. Belote. The motion carried on roll**
276 **call vote 7 –0.**

277

278

NEW BUSINESS

279

A. CRA Budget Resolution

281

282 Mr. Fall stated that the annual fiscal year 2008/2009 budget of the New Smyrna Beach
283 Community Redevelopment Agency (NSBCRA) had been prepared and was contained in
284 the overall budget of the City of New Smyrna Beach. Mr. Fall recommended the CRA
285 approve and authorize the FY 2008/2009 CRA budget.

286

287 Mr. Fall continued that the CRA, as a special district of the State, was required to adopt a
288 budget resolution every year.

289

290 **Mr. Dennis made the motion to approve the FY 2008/2009 CRA budget as**
291 **submitted, seconded by Mr. Williams. The motion carried on roll call vote 7 –0.**

292

293 Ms. DeBorde complimented CRA staff for their hard work in getting projects moving.
294 Mr. Fall appreciated this compliment and passed it on to his CRA staff.

295

B. Riverside Park Lighting – Request for Proposals

296

297
298 Mr. Fall reiterated that the CRA had previously approved plans to consolidate the five
299 different light styles into a single style utility grade pole and fixture throughout the Park,
300 as this would significantly reduce overall maintenance costs and provide a more inviting
301 environment.

302

303 Mr. Fall recommended the CRA review the Riverside Light Park Upgrade Request for
304 Proposal (RFP) documents and approve for the bid process.

305

306 Mr. Fall gave the CRA a brief heads-up on a conceptual City project for Riverside Park
307 that he felt the CRA would definitely be involved in.

308

309 **Mr. Williams made the motion to approve the Riverside Light Park Upgrade**
310 **Request for Proposal documents, seconded by Mr. Hodson. The motion carried on**
311 **roll call vote 7 –0.**

312

C. Florida Avenue CRA Properties – Request for Proposals

313

314
315 Mr. Fall summarized that the CRA had received a presentation from Premier
316 Development Group for a previously proposed hotel development on Flagler Avenue and,
317 by consensus, agreed to the proposed hotel concept and to assign it a high priority in the
318 CRA work program.

319

320 Mr. Fall continued, that in response to the recent addition of the Hospitality Overlay
321 District and the past interest demonstrated for such an investment within the CRA, staff
322 had prepared a Request for Proposal (RFP) to solicit market and development interest in a
323 potential hotel/hospitality project utilizing the CRA owned properties located at 207 and
324 215 Florida Ave.

325

326 Mr. Fall was seeking approval and recommendation to the City Commission for
327 authorization to advertise the attached Request for Proposals – Acquisition and
328 Development of CRA Properties located at 207 and 215 Florida Avenue.

329

330 Mr. Kosmas inquired

331

332 1) why CRA resources were being used to solicit responses, instead of waiting
333 for a private investor to approach the CRA with a proposal.

334

335 2) why, if a RFP was necessary, it would be only hotel/hospitality specific,
336 which could label the properties and could deter any other potentially
337 interested parties.

338

339 In response to Mr. Kosmas' questions Mr. Fall stated that the CRA was required by law
340 to competitively bid the properties should they decide to sell or utilize them.

341

342 A brief discussion ensued between Mr. Kosmas and Mr. Fall about the legalities of
343 receiving individual proposal versus the process of public bidding.

344

345 Ms. Lybrand asked why such a RFP needed to be done now. Mr. Fall felt it necessary to
346 bring this project forward since the Hospitality Overlay District had been completed. Mr.
347 Fall noted that action had to be taken to designate those properties for a specific use, the
348 hospitality use had been proposed in the past and had been supported by the CRA.

349

350 Mr. Kosmas stated that he did not agree with Mr. Fall's interpretation that the CRA
351 supported a hotel/hospitality use for this site and reiterated that the CRA had been
352 approached with a conceptual development plan and a hypothetical question as to
353 whether or not there was a possibility that the parking would be available. Mr. Kosmas
354 emphasized that the CRA simply suggested that parking might be available, subject to
355 numerous contingencies. Mr. Kosmas pointed out that the CRA had just authorized a
356 very expensive redevelopment study for the whole CRA district and he was not able to
357 conceive spending the time, money and effort in an area that did not present itself at the
358 current time. Mr. Kosmas stated that he would prefer to have the redevelopment plan
359 update performed to determine the best use for this area, as it could turn out to be a
360 parking lot. Mr. Kosmas was reluctant to solicit only hotel/hospitality proposals;
361 possibly limiting any other interested parties from submitting proposals as had occurred
362 in the past with a different project.

363

364 Ms. Lybrand concurred with Mr. Kosmas.

365

366 Mr. Fall stressed that the proposed RFP would not take the properties out of any public
367 domain.

368

369 Another brief discussion ensued between the CRA and staff about what the CRA's
370 intentions and directives had been when Premier Development made their proposed hotel
371 presentation.

372

373 Ms. DeBorde reiterated that the CRA, by consensus, agreed that the hotel proposal was a
374 good idea and worth pursuing, but no actual commitments or promises were made to the
375 developer. Ms. DeBorde continued that by authorizing staff to pursue this avenue, legal
376 staff advised them that, regardless of what these properties would be used for, they had to
377 be opened up for the public to bid competitively.

378

379 Mr. Kosmas emphasized that he agreed to the conceptual hotel plans, but not to the
380 exclusion of any other use.

381

382 Ms. DeBorde inquired if a RFP was solicited and proposals had been received, if a choice
383 had to be made by the CRA. Mr. Fall stated that the CRA would be under no obligation.

384

385 Mr. Fall reiterated why this hotel/hospitality RFP was created and the perceived benefits
386 from receiving proposals. Mr. Kosmas felt it was premature to issue a RFP, regardless of
387 the specified use.

388

389 Mr. Belote observed that the CRA was soliciting ideas and was not required to act on any
390 of them. Mr. Belote felt that this might dovetail with the CRA's redevelopment plan and
391 would put some ideas on the table. Mr. Belote agreed with Mr. Kosmas that the CRA
392 needed Premiere Development to bring back more detailed plans.

393

394 Mr. Dennis felt that the previous proposal was strictly a concept and no site plan was ever
395 presented and suggested the CRA do two things:

396

397 1. take no action on this matter today

398

399 2. confer with Glatting Jackson Kercher Anglin, Inc., the consultants
400 selected for professional services related to the update to the CRA
401 Redevelopment Plan, to find out if such a RFP would be beneficial.

402

403 A brief discussion ensued between Mr. Dennis and Mr. Hall about the legalities of
404 leasing the properties and requiring an RFP.

405

406 Mr. Kosmas stated that he had background in real estate/urban development and that he
407 could not imagine that a development plan for a parcel would have any impact
408 whatsoever on a study as to how to utilize the property.

409

410 Mr. Fall felt that the RFP would help from a market analysis data to find out what level
411 of investment someone was willing to put into this area.

412

413 Mr. Dennis suggested deferring this topic.

414

415 Diane Hughes, 201 S. Peninsula asked if she may address the CRA Commissioners. Ms.
416 Hughes inquired who was on the CRA when this property was acquired and what purpose
417 it was bought for. Mr. Dennis stated that he was on the CRA then, the property was
418 purchased for future development with immediate use as parking. Ms. Hughes confirmed
419 that the property was currently being used for parking, Mr. Dennis agreed.

420

421 Ms. Hughes addressed Mr. Dennis and stated that she was very surprised with a comment
422 he had made at a recent meeting about maximum usage for New Smyrna Hotels. A brief
423 discussion followed about the frequency with which local hotels are filled to capacity.

424

425 Ms. Hughes asked that the CRA designate this property for parking use and that they do
426 not consider accepting any proposals and wait until the evaluation was done.

427

428 **The CRA came to the consensus to defer this topic to a date uncertain.**

429

430 Ms. DeBorde inquired how this topic could be brought back. Mr. Dennis commented that
431 when a topic has been deferred the Chair or any member of the Board can bring it back at
432 any time, however, if the item was tabled, than the person that tabled it had to bring it
433 back.

434

435 **D. 216 Flagler Avenue - Property Improvement Grant**

436

437 Noleen Foster introduced the applicant Mr. John Vazquez, who had submitted a
438 property improvement grant application for 216 Flagler Avenue. Ms. Foster noted that
439 this property had received a grant in 2001 to paint the exterior of the building, landscape
440 and construct an addition.

441

442 Mr. Vazquez elaborated on the scope of work and stated that the estimated cost of this
443 project was \$10,000, however he was only requesting a grant for \$5,000. Ms. Foster
444 mentioned that staff was recommending the CRA approve the grant for the maximum of
445 \$5,000.

446

447 The CRA Commissioners asked that a breakdown of all the estimates be included with
448 every application.

449

450

451 **Mr. Hodson made the motion to approve the grant application in an amount up to**
452 **\$5,000; seconded by Mr. Dennis. The motion carried on roll call vote 7 -0.**

453

454 Mr. Dennis asked Mr. Vazquez if he had plans for the rear of his building. Mr. Vazquez
455 stated that the guidelines asked for pictures of the rear of his property, but all work was
456 being done along Flagler Avenue.

457

458 Mr. Fall informed the CRA that staff had found a Property Improvement Grant guideline
459 package from another CRA that was very similar to the NSB CRA. Mr. Fall continued
460 that staff was in the process of updating the package and would bring it to the next CRA
461 meeting.

462

463 7. REPORTS AND COMMUNICATIONS

464

465 A. Director's Report (verbal)

466

467 Mr. Fall touched on the following:

468

469 • Grant and Aid Program as to the CRA's involvement with the
470 Uncorked Event in 2008. Errors were made by issuing the check to an
471 existing organization that had been declared inactive by the State, but
472 was similar in name and principals to the group that applied for the
473 grant. That raised a question of the legal exposure of the CRA and Mr.
474 Fall stated that he had conferred with the City legal staff and the CRA
475 attorney. Mr. Hall, CRA Attorney, summarized that new procedures
476 were being put into effect, which would avoid repeats of this situation.
477 Mr. Hall continued that he considered the matter closed as no evidence
478 of misappropriation was evident and all issues had been addressed.

479

480 • adoption of a CRA meeting schedule and filing it with the City as a
481 requirement of being a special district. Mr. Fall will present at the next
482 CRA meeting.

483

484 • West Canal Streetscape groundbreaking ceremony to be held on
485 January 28, 2009 at 4:00 pm at Myrtle Avenue Park. Formal
486 invitations and the West Canal Streetscape Newsletter would be
487 mailed.

488

489 Mr. Fall continued that the private utilities wanted to be paid in
490 advance, which was holding up the finalization of the 100% plans. The
491 easement letters would be send within the next few days.

492

493 • Request for consensus of the CRA Commissioners to authorize hiring
494 of a consultant to perform a professional salary survey for CRA staff.

495

496 • Authorize the CRA Chair and the CRA Director to disperse CRA
497 funds up to a certain amount without having to present every item to
498 the CRA Commission.

499

500 Mr. Belote commented that salary information should be available in the public arena.
501 Mr. Fall stated that he had checked other CRA's and obtain public info, however he
502 needed comparables for the private sector.

503

504 **The CRA authorized Mr. Fall to put together reports detailing his intentions**
505 **pertaining to a salary study.**

506

507 B. Commissioners Reports

508

509 Mr. Kosmas thanked Mr. Fall for the new format in which he was conducting the
510 meeting. Mr. Kosmas continued that he was extremely pleased that projects were
511 actually starting thanks to the CRA staff's work efforts.

512

513 Ms. Lybrand asked for further explanation pertaining to the paving of West Canal
514 Streetscape. Mr. Fall elaborated that FDOT was resurfacing/re-milling numerous areas
515 and staff was coordinating that effort with FDOT.

516

517 Mr. Williams thanked Mr. Fall and CRA staff for supplying the Commissioners early
518 with preliminary agendas.

519

520 Mr. Dennis commented on the A Auto Mural. Flare Elliott thanked the CRA
521 Commissioners for all their support and stated that the Mural was almost completed and
522 thanked all the "behind the scenes" supporters.

523

524 Mr. Kosmas commended Flare Elliott for leading this project and seeing it through.

525

526 Ms. DeBorde asked staff to do research on the acquisition of Canal Street and the
527 resulting trade of Washington Street to find a definite answer of what transpired.

528

529 Ms. DeBorde was proud to inform everybody that her granddaughter had blessed her with
530 a great-grandson.

531

532 Ms. DeBorde thanked everybody for attending the meeting.

533

534 C. Correspondence

535

536 No Discussion

537

538

539

ADJOURNMENT

540

541 **Mr. Dennis made a motion to adjourn, all agreed. Meeting adjourned at 4:40 pm.**