

1 **HISTORIC NEW SMYRNA BEACH**
2 **PRESERVATION COMMISSION (HPC)**
3 **MINUTES**
4 **MARCH 10, 2010**
5 **CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS**
6 **210 SAMS AVENUE, NEW SMYRNA BEACH, FLORIDA**
7

8 Chairperson Ron Sayyah called the meeting of March 10, 2010 to order at 5:30 p.m.
9

10 Answering to roll call:

11 Ron Sayyah - Chair
12 Nancy Ryan-Vice Chair
13 Jean Mayo
14 Greg Mercurio
15

16 Also present were staff members Jake Baker, Planner, Gail Henrikson, Chief Planner, and
17 Claudia Soulie, Administrative Specialist. Commission member Allene Teague,
18 Commission member Milford Dinker and Commission member Lillian Wilson were absent.
19

20 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
21

22 **Regular Meeting February 13, 2010.**
23

24 **Mr. Mercurio made the motion to approve the meeting minutes, seconded by Ms.**
25 **Mayo. The motion carried on roll call vote 4-0.**
26

27 **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**
28

29 In accordance with the City Commission Resolution #11-89, a three-minute limitation is in effect unless
30 otherwise granted by the HPC.
31

32 Ms. Dot Moore, 4725 Lingo Grove Rd, Edgewater, stated she and Bruce Piatek will be
33 meeting with the City Manager and Mayor to discuss archaeological work in this area, and
34 their discoveries over the years. Ms. Moore stated there is a display case at the New Smyrna
35 Beach Library with prehistoric artifacts. Ms. Moore also updated the HPC on what was found
36 during the resurfacing of South Riverside Drive, which included some Turnbull era artifacts,
37 but mostly was prehistoric pottery. She also told the HPC that she was involved in
38 archaeological monitoring along the west side of Mary Avenue to North Myrtle Avenue for
39 the stormwater improvements.
40

41 Ms. Moore also stated the City Commission at their February 23, 2010 meeting presented a
42 Proclamation designating the month of March as Florida Archaeology Month 2010.
43

44 Ms. Moore stated the City Commission approved demolition of three (3) structures: 332
45 Palm, 718 Canal and 305 Columbus and questioned if located in historic area. She also
46 asked if the HPC Board approved them for demolition.
47

48 Mr. Baker stated the demolitions were not presented to the HPC and asked Ms. Henrikson if
49 she had information on the structures. Ms. Henrikson stated the structures did not appear to

50 be contributing structures in the City's Historic Districts, which is why they did not have to
51 come before the HPC. Ms. Henrikson further stated that there are approximately five (5)
52 more structures that were not on the list which will be submitted to City Commission for
53 approval and these did not appear to be contributing structures as well.

54

55 Hearing no further requests, Mr. Sayyah closed the Public Participation portion of the
56 meeting.

57

58

Historic Survey Report

59

60 Nancy Ryan submitted her comments regarding the City's Historic Structure Survey to Jake
61 Baker. Mr. Baker stated that a few other Commission members e-mailed their comments to
62 City staff.

63

64 Mr. Mercurio stated the historic narrative section of the Historic Structure Survey did not go
65 into a great deal of depth when it came to the post-Turnbull era of New Smyrna Beach.

66

67 Ms. Mayo questioned the purpose of the Historical Structure Survey and what it involved.
68 Ms. Henrikson stated the purpose of the Historic Structure Survey was to identify structures
69 that may have been missed during the first survey, (which was completed in the 1980's)
70 which was used to establish the boundaries of the City's National Register of Historic Places
71 Districts, and to include new structures that may now be considered historic by the fact that
72 they are now at least fifty years old. This can allow the City to expand existing district
73 boundaries, create new boundaries in areas not previously established or create a local
74 historic district which will help with preservation efforts.

75

76

Cottage Industry Overlay District

77

78 Ms. Henrikson stated comments for the preliminary concept from the various Boards were
79 incorporated into draft regulations and maps showing areas for potential Arts District
80 locations. She is looking for feedback to the new questions that she posed on the draft.
81 Discussion followed. The Commissioners' concerns related primarily to parking regulations
82 and types of permitted uses, the possibility of confining the district to Flagler Avenue, Canal
83 Street and Washington Street, size of signage (4 ft height max), hours of operation
84 restrictions hindering evening events and limitations on outdoor displays.

85

86 Ms. Henrikson stated that comments from the draft regulations and maps provided to the
87 staff from various city boards will be incorporated into a final ordinance to present to the
88 HPC at the May 12, 2010.

89

90

Goals For 2010

91

92 Mr. Baker stated that he will try to schedule a joint meeting with the City Commission for
93 April 27, 2010 to discuss the HPC Goals for 2010.

94

95 Discussion followed about. Some of the topics discussed included:

96

- 97 • Updating self-guided walking tours;
- 98 • The economic benefits of historic preservation;
- 99 • Status of historic markers at the City's boundaries;
- 100 • Signage for medians entering City;
- 101 • Promotion of the City's Bed & Breakfasts; and
- 102 • Promotion of the City's heritage at the Visitor's Bureau.

103

104

105 Mr. Baker will provide a concept design for brochures for the April 14, 2010 Board
106 meeting.

107

108

Comments from Commission Members and City Staff

109

110 Mr. Baker displayed the Historic Preservation Award plaques that will be presented at the
111 March 23, 2010 City Commission meeting.

112

Adjournment

114

115 Being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 6:14 P.M.